# STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC FACILITIES

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

FRANK H. MURKOWSKI, GOVERNOR

3132 CHANNEL DRIVE JUNEAU, **ALASKA** 99801-7898

TEXT: (907) 465-3652 FAX: (907) 586-8365 PHONE: (907) 465-3900

May 21, 2003

Mr. Richard Krochalis, Regional Administrator US Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration, Region X Federal Building, Suite 3 142 Seattle WA 98174-1002

RE: 2001 - 2003 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Amendment #23

Dear Mr. Krochalis:

The following minor amendment, which only requires FTA approval, is submitted for your consideration.

Recently, the Fairbanks Metropolitan Area Transportation System (FMATS) was designated a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). Due to this new MPO status, FMATS is able to accept funds apportioned under 49 USC 5307 [Page 11926, Federal Register, Vol. 68, No. 48 (enclosed)] and has requested the following project be added to the STIP:

Fairbanks Area Transit Operations, \$886,450 Total, \$443,225 federal, \$443,225 local match

Addition of this project does not require an air quality conformity determination nor does it require an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment. Funding for this project is new to the STIP and is in addition to the Section 5307 funding already shown. The STIP remains fiscally constrained. This STIP amendment was developed in compliance with Title 23 - Section 135 and the State Planning Regulations. Projects that are in air quality non-attainment areas are in conformity with the State Implementation Plan for Air Quality.

With respect to the certifications mentioned, the powers and duties vested in me by 44 AS 42.020, and any corrections you may suggest, we request your approval of this amendment to the 200 1-2003 Alaska Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.

Sincerely,

Mike Barton Commissioner

### Enclosure

cc: Peter Serrano, Transportation Planner, Alaska Division, FHWA

Jeff Ottesen, Acting Director, Division of Statewide Planning, DOT&PF

25A-T34LH

#### FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Page 5 of 12

#### TABLE 4

#### FY 2003 SECTION 5307 URBANIZED AREA FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZE[AREA/STATE   | APPORTIONMENT               |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Assessed Assessed as City Communication   |                             |
| Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for<br>Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 200,000 in Population |                             |
| ALABAMA   | <b>\$6</b> ,539,449         |
| Anniston, $AL$  | 599,897                     |
| Auburn, AL  | 561,141                     |
| Decatur, AL   | 536,835                     |
| Dothan, AL  | 507,943                     |
| Florence, AL.   | 641,667                     |
| Gadsden, AL   | 498,591                     |
| Montgomery, AL<br>Tuscaloosa, AL  | 2,092,461<br>1,100,914      |
| ALASKA  | <b>\$443,225</b>            |
| Fairbanks, AK   | 443,225                     |
| ARIZONA   | \$3,076,381                 |
| Avondale, AZ  | 777,482                     |
| Flagstaff, AZ Prescott, AZ  | 570,602                     |
| Yuma, AZ—CA   | 592,448<br>1,135,849        |
| ARKANSAS  | \$4,414,405                 |
| Fayetteville-Springdale, AR   | 1,647,460                   |
| Fort Smith. AR-OK   | 1,091,795                   |
| Hot Springs, AR   | 433,188                     |
| Jonesboro, AR   | 454.956                     |
| Pine Bluff, AR<br>Texankana, TXTexankana, AR  | 569,326<br><b>217,680</b>   |
| CALIFORNIA  | \$45,210,680                |
| Atascadero-El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA  | 550.767                     |
| Camarillo, CA   | 825.711                     |
| Chico, CA   | 1,080,653                   |
| Davis, CA   | 1,200,666                   |
| El <b>Centro</b> , CA   | 733.338                     |
| Fairfield, CA   | 1,899,150                   |
| Gilroy-Morgan Hill, CA  | 947.752                     |
| <b>Hanford,</b> CA<br><b>Hemet,</b> CA  | 876,706                     |
| Livermore, CA   | 1,496,905<br>1,119,993      |
| Lodi, CA  | 1,237,087                   |
| Lompoc, CA  | 433,982                     |
| Madera, CA  | 704,674                     |
| Manteca, CA   | 783.472                     |
| Merced, CA  | 1,489,905                   |
| Napa, CA  | 1,145,428                   |
| Petaluma, CA  | 836,215                     |
| Porterville, CA<br>Redding,CA   | 778,294                     |
| Salinas,CA  | 978,065                     |
| San Luis Obispo, CA   | <b>2,852,752</b>            |
| Santa Barbara, CA   | 797,320<br><b>2.756.468</b> |
| Santa Clarita, CA   | 2,328,831                   |
| Santa Cruz, CA  | 2.035.964                   |
| Santa Maria, CA   | 1,721,755                   |
| SeasideMontereyMarina, CA   | 1,697,779                   |
| Simi Valley, CA   | 1,835,790                   |
| Tracy, CA   | 1,038,436                   |
| Turlock, CA   | 1,057,658                   |
|   | 1,557,1000                  |

(au) T.



U.S. Department of Transportation **Federal Transit** Administration

**REGION X** Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington

915 Second Avenue Federal Bldg. Suite 3142 Seattle, WA 98174-1002 206-220-7954 206-220-7959 (fax)

June 3, 2003

Mike Barton, Acting Commissioner Alaska Department of Transportation And Public Transportation 3 13 2 Channel Drive Juneau, Alaska 9980 1-7898

SUBJECT: 2001-2003 STIP, Amendment 23

Dear Mr. Barton:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has received your May 21, 2003 letter requesting approval of 2001-2003 STIP Amendment 23.

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.220, the FTA, based on the Certification of the statewide and metropolitan transportation planning processes for and within the State of Alaska, hereby finds that the project described in 2001-2003 STIP Amendment 23 is based on a transportation planning, process that substantially meets the requirements of 23 CFR Part 450 Subpart A, B and C, 49 U.S.C. Sections 5303-5305, and 23 U.S.C. Sections 134 and 135. Therefore, the amendment is approved.

Approval of the STIP and any amendments thereto are not to be construed as a Federal-aid eligibility determination. Each project must satisfy the specific requirements of the program from which Federal funds are solicited, as well as, other Federal requirements before Federal funds are authorized.

If you have any questions concerning this action, please call Jennifer Bowman of FTA at 206.220.7953.

Sincerely,

R. F. Krochalis

Regional Administrator

Jenfer Pomm

FRECENSITA dministration

OF STATEWING THE AREA OF